Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,559	REDBURN, ROBERT C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Baoquoc N. To	2162
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowance		
(1) <u>Baoquoc N. To</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Joe Petrokaitis, Reg. 38,995.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2007	Time: 4:00 Eatern Time	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 5-6 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.	-	•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
	•	
•	•	
\mathcal{N}_{α}		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Annlicant's Penresontative Si	anature — if appropriate)
(Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Signature	griature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contact applicant representative, Mr. Joe Petrokaitis, Reg. 38,995 to suggest an amendment by incorporating the language of claims 5 and 6 and clarifying the terms keywords and syntax. Mr. Joe Petrokaitis agreed to the proposed amendment by Examiner Amendment and other changes would be made to independent claims 9, 15, 24 and 31 and canceling claims 2, 5-6, 10, 13-14, 16, 21-23, 28-29, 32, 35-36, 39-40...